

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,803	O'CONNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christina Johnson	1725	

All Participants:

(1) Christina Johnson.

(2) Louis Morris.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23 and 35-37

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 23 and 35-37 were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. Non-elected claim 23 was amended commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims, thereby allowing the non-elected claims to be rejoined and passed to issue. Claims 35-37 were amended to clarify the language of the claims. Applicant's representative agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiners amendment..